FEM Simulation of 3D Deformable Solids: A practitioner's guide to theory, discretization and model reduction.

ACM SIGGRAPH 2012 Course

Part 2: Model Reduction

Jernej Barbič Univ. of Southern California

About me

 Assistant professor in CS at Univ. of Southern California in Los Angeles



- Post-doc at MIT
- PhD, Carnegie Mellon University

About me

Background:

BSc Mathematics PhD Computer Science

Research interests:

graphics, animation, real-time physics, control, sound, haptics

About me

FROM mathematics,

TO computer graphics,

TO mechanics.



Physically Based Modeling



Anything one man can imagine, other men can make real. *Jules Verne*

Deformable object simulations



Task: Compute the dynamic deformations of the bridge under given external forces.

Deformable objects are computationally challenging





FEM Simulation Computation time: 9.5 hours

Non-interactive simulation 35,000 DOFs, 2000 timesteps Blue vertices = fixed

Rendered triangle mesh

Real-time deformable objects

- 30 Hz for graphics
- 1000 Hz for force feedback
- 44100 Hz for sound
- Difficult !!!



[Barbic and James, SIGGRAPH 2005]

Real-time simulation 65 microsec / timestep Speedup: 108,000x

Applications



[Source: Boeing]

Can the components of this Boeing 777 landing gear be assembled?



[Source: UW Dept of Surgery] Surgery simulation (artist illustration)



[Source: Crytek (Far Cry)] Make bridges deformable?

Outline

- Vega FEM
- Introduction to Model Reduction
- Linear Modal Analysis
- Model Reduction of Nonlinear Deformations
- Applications of Model Reduction

Jurij Vega (1754-1802) Slovenian mathematician, physicist and artillery officer



Vega FEM:

A free physics library to simulate 3D nonlinear deformable objects

Vega



- Free and open source (BSD license), both for academia and industry
- 50,000 lines of C/C++ code
- No required external dependencies
- Released Aug 6, 2012

http://www.jernejbarbic.com/vega

Authors of Vega



- Jernej Barbic (8 years of development)
- Fun Shing Sin



Daniel Schroeder

http://www.jernejbarbic.com/vega

Deformable Models in Vega

- Linear FEM [Shabana 1990]
- Co-rotational linear FEM [Mueller and Gross 2004] Also with exact stiffness matrix [Barbic 2012] [Chao et al. 2010]
- Invertible FEM [Irving et al. 20 [Teran et al. 2005]
- Saint-Venant Kirchhoff FEM
- Mass-spring Systems





Deformable Models in Vega

 All models provide internal elastic forces, AND tangent stiffness matrices, in ANY deformed configuration

 All models include support for multi-core CPU computing





 All models support non-homogeneous material properties

Integrators in Vega

- Implicit Newmark [Wriggers 2002]
- Central differences [Wriggers 2002]
- Implicit Backward Euler [Baraff and Witkin 1998]
- Symplectic Euler
- others can be added easily

Vega is modular

 All deformable models can be used independently of each other, and of the integrators

 All integrators can be used independently of each other and of the deformable models

Materials in Vega

- Linear materials
- Neo-Hookean
- Mooney-Rivlin
- Arbitrary isotropic nonlinear materials easily supported



Elements in Vega:

Tetrahedral

Cubic



Sparse Linear Solvers:

 Jacobi-preconditioned Conjugate Gradients (iterative solver) "without the agonizing pain" [Shewchuk 1994]

PARDISO (direct solver)

SPOOLES (direct solver)

Demo Application Screenshot



Real-time Interaction



Inversion Handling



Vega: Nonlinear FEM Deformable Object Simulator

Funshing Sin¹, Daniel Schroeder^{1,2}, Jernej Barbič¹

¹University of Southern California, USA ²Carleton College, USA

Fun Shing Sin, Daniel Schroeder, Jernej Barbič: Vega: Nonlinear FEM Deformable Object Simulator, Computer Graphics Forum, to appear, 2012

http://www.jernejbarbic.com/vega

Direct Solver vs PCG

 Direct solver times are constant

 PCG solver times depend on: material stiffness, convergence threshold



Why PCG times depend on stiffness

• System matrix has the form:

 $A = k_1 M + k_2 K$ (for some constants k_1 , k_2)



- *K* is much more poorly conditioned than *M*
- As material is made stiffer, k₂ grows, and the K term becomes dominant in A →
 A becomes more poorly conditioned →
 more CG iterations are needed

Limitations of Vega and Future Work

- Cutting / fracture
- Collisions must be handled externally
- Shells (cloth) and strands
- Model reduction (already released separately)

Vega Live Demo

Outline

- Vega FEM
- Introduction to Model Reduction
- Linear Modal Analysis
- Model Reduction of Nonlinear Deformations
- Applications of Model Reduction

Online Course Notes:

http://www.femdefo.org

(or, Google "Jernej Barbic")

Model Reduction

 A technique to simplify simulations of systems described by Ordinary Differential Equations

 Project high-dimensional equations to low-dimensional equations



Model Reduction

- + Faster computation
- + Lower memory footprint
- Approximation only

Projection-based Model Reduction

A high-dimensional ODE: $\ddot{u} = F(u, \dot{u}, t)$ $\begin{bmatrix} u = Uq \\ Pre-multiply with U^T \end{bmatrix}$ Low-dimensional $\ddot{q} = U^T F(Uq, U\dot{q}, t)$

Elasticity, fluids, voltages, etc.

Other Names for Projection-Based Model Reduction

 "Principal Orthogonal Directions" method (POD)

Subspace integration

subspace

Model Reduction Outside of Computer Graphics

- Electric circuits
- Electromagnetics



[Carlberg and Farhat 2010]

- Microelectromechanical systems
- Aeronautics: Navier-Stokes equations, coupled fluid-structure problems
Model Reduction Outside of Computer Graphics voltage

- Mostly linear systems
- Low-dimensional input, low-dimensional output



In Computer Graphics:

high-dimensional output (object shape)

need different reduction methods

Outline

- Vega FEM
- Introduction to Model Reduction
- Linear Modal Analysis
- Model Reduction of Nonlinear Deformations
- Applications of Model Reduction

Model Reduction of Linear Systems

Notation: Deformation Vector (3D meshes)

 Contains the 3D deformation vectors for all the mesh vertices



Linear Equations of Motion of 3D Solid Deformable Object [Shabana 1990]

$M\ddot{u} + D\dot{u} + Ku = f(t)$

• *u* = deformation vector



- M = mass matrix
- D = damping matrix
- K = stiffness matrix
- f(t) = external forces

Linear Equations of Motion of 3D Solid Deformable Object [Shabana 1990] $M\ddot{u} + D\dot{u} + Ku = f(t)$

- 3D linear continuum mechanics + FEM
- Widely used (e.g., earthquake simulation)
- Captures transient waves
- Supports small deformations only
- High-dimensional; no reduction
- Slow for very complex meshes (supercomputers)



(for some appropriately chosen basis matrix U)

Columns of *U* are deformation basis vectors



What is a good choice of basis?

Linear Modes



Linear Modes *k* = 4 shown

- Shapes with the *least* resistance to deformation
- "Natural" deformations of a structure
- Depend on boundary conditions (fixed vertices)

Linear Modes





- Only good for small deformations
- In the k \rightarrow 3n limit, one obtains the full linear model $M\ddot{u} + D\dot{u} + Ku = f(t)$

Linear Modes



Linear Modes are Shapes with the Least Resistance to Deformation

For a given amount of deformation, subject to fixed vertices, which shape increased the elastic strain energy by the *least* amount? Linear Modes are Shapes with the Least Resistance to Deformation

• Measure mesh displacement:

 $\frac{1}{2}$ **M u**, **u >** = "total amount of displaced mass"

u = deformation vector



Note: **<U**, **U>** is **not** a good measure!

 Measure (linearized) strain energy: ¹/₂ < K u, u > ⁵¹ Linear Modes are Shapes with the Least Resistance to Deformation

 $\psi_1 = \operatorname*{arg\,min}_{u; < Mu, u > = 1} < Ku, u >$

 $\psi_2 = \operatorname*{arg\,min}_{u;u\perp\psi_1,<Mu,u>=1} < Ku,u>$

 $\psi_3 = \operatorname*{arg\,min}_{u;u\perp\psi_1,u\perp\psi_2,<Mu,u>=1} < Ku,u>$

52

Linear Modes in Computer Graphics



Williams 1989]

[Pentland and [James and Pai 2002]

[Hauser, Shen, O'Brien 2003]

Applications of Linear Modes

- Fast deformable object simulation (games, virtual surgery, fast previewing)
- Modeling of deformed shapes (interactive design of animations)
- Force feedback rendering / haptics
- Sound simulation



Computing Linear Modes

Remove rows and columns corresponding to fixed vertices from K and M



• Solve generalized eigenvalue problem:

$$\overline{K}x = \lambda \overline{M}x$$

- Can use ARPACK (free eigensolver)
- $\lambda = \omega^2$, $\omega = 2 \pi / T$, T = oscillation period

ARPACK

• Free eigensolver for large sparse matrices:

$A x = \lambda B x$

- Arnoldi iteration
- Danny C. Sorensen, Rice University, mid-1990s
- http://www.caam.rice.edu/software/ARPACK/

ARPACK

- Works very well
- Written in Fortran; compiles (today) without much difficulty
- Compilation instructions for Windows: http://www.jernejbarbic.com/arpack.html

When no fixed vertices: "free-fly" modes

- Useful for free-flying objects
- First six modes correspond to: all rigid translations (3 modes), and all infinitesimal rotations (3 modes)
- Zero frequency
- These modes are often discarded





[Hauser, Shen, O'Brien 2003] 58

View: Linear modes Mod	le: 1	Francianes Invelociali			
		Frequency [cycles/s].	0.000008	Amplitude: 0.142	
		┣╃┰┩			
		╠ <u>╴</u> ╄╌╃╺╡ ┠╼╾┿╼╡			
			×		

Use Linear Modes for Reduction

$M\ddot{u} + D\dot{u} + Ku = f(t)$ Substitute u = UqProject U^T

$\ddot{q} + U^T D U \dot{q} + \Lambda q = U^T f(t)$

Reduced Equations of Motion

Independent modal oscillators

• If $D = \alpha M + \beta K$ (Rayleigh damping), then $U^{T}DU$ is **diagonal**.

 $\ddot{q} + \zeta \dot{q} + \Lambda q = U^T f(t)$ Decouples $\ddot{q}_i + \zeta_i \dot{q}_i + \Lambda_i q_i = \tilde{f}_i(t)$ **Decoupled 1D modal oscillators** 61

Integrating modal oscillators $\ddot{q}_i + \zeta_i \dot{q}_i + \Lambda_i q_i = \tilde{f}_i(t)$

- Fast (1D simulation)
- Can use any numerical integrator
- Over-damped vs under-damped, depending on damping strength
- Exact integration possible using IIR filters [James and Pai 2002]



time

62

Linear modal simulation



[James and Pai 2002]

Collision Detection for Reduced Deformable Models

BD-Tree [James and Pai 2004]



Collision Detection for Reduced Deformable Models

BD-Tree [James and Pai 2004]







Correcting Artifacts of Large Deformations : Deformation Warping

• Two flavors: [Choi and Ko 2005], [Huang et al. 2011]



Software for model reduction (by Jernej Barbic)

- Compute linear modes for any tet mesh or triangle mesh
- Compute modal derivatives
- Compute the basis
- Compute cubic polynomials
- Timestep reduced models at runtime
- Available at:

www.jernejbarbic.com/code



Live Demo:

Computing Linear Modes

Live Demo:

Building a Reduced Nonlinear Simulation

Outline

- Vega FEM
- Introduction to Model Reduction
- Linear Modal Analysis
- Model Reduction of Nonlinear Deformations
- Applications of Model Reduction

Model Reduction of Nonlinear Deformations

Motivation





linear

nonlinear
3D Continuum Mechanics + FEM: Equations of Motion [Euler, Lagrange]

$$M\ddot{u} + D\dot{u} + f_{int}(u) = f_{ext}(t)$$

• *u* = deformation vector



- Supports large deformations
- Nonlinear

$M\ddot{u} + D\dot{u} + f_{\rm int}(u) = f_{\rm ext}(t)$

High-dimensional system of ODEs Not real-time for large models

> How to approximate it for interactive applications ?

Reduced equations of motion

$$M\ddot{u} + D\dot{u} + f_{\text{int}}(u) = f_{\text{ext}}(t)$$

Substitute $u = Uq$
Project U^T
 $+ U^T DU\dot{q} + U^T f_{\text{int}}(Uq) = U^T f_{\text{ext}}(t)$

Ï

Reduced Equations of Motion

Unreduced

$$M\ddot{u} + D\dot{u} + f_{\rm int}(u) = f_{\rm ext}(t)$$

• High-dimensional (e.g. 3*n* = 75,000)

Reduced

 $\ddot{q} + U^T D U \dot{q} + U^T f_{\text{int}}(Uq) = U^T f_{\text{ext}}(t)$

• Low-dimensional (e.g. r = 30)

Reduced internal forces:

 $\tilde{f}_{int}(q) = U^T f_{int}(Uq)$

slow to evaluate



How to select the basis U ?

u = Uq

Basis must capture typical nonlinear deformations

Motion basis selection

- 0. Example motion [Krysl et al. 2001]
- 1. Recorded user interaction [Barbic and James 2005]
- 2. Modal Derivatives (automatic) [Barbic and James 2005]



Motion basis from modal derivatives



Linear Modes *k*=4 shown

 Linear modes only good for very small deformations

Modal derivatives are nonlinear corrections to linear modes

[Idelsohn and Cardona 1985]





The "twist" linear mode and artifacts for large deformations



Modal derivative cancels volume growth

Basis – Runtime simulation: Modal Derivatives, r = 2



 Ψ^4

 Φ^{44}

[Barbic and James 2005] Motion basis from modal derivatives



Comparison: Full simulation vs reduced simulation





Unreduced 3n=11094

Modal derivatives k=6, r=12

Computation time: 10 hours

Computation time: 0.71 sec 50,000x faster

Spoon experiment accuracy plot



Modal Derivatives

$$f_{\rm int}(u) = f$$

What load causes a displacement aligned with mode $\psi_{i}\,?$

Answer: $f = \lambda_i M \psi_i$ Proof: $f_{int}(\psi_i) \approx K \psi_i = \lambda_i M \psi_i$ For any $p \in \mathbb{R}^k$:

$$f_{\rm int}(u(p)) = M U_{\rm lin} \Lambda p$$

This defines a function u = u(p).

Taylor series:

Modal derivative

$$u(p) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \Psi^{i} p_{i} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{j=1}^{k} \Phi^{ij} p_{i} p_{j} + O(p^{3})$$
$$K\Phi^{ij} = -(H:\psi_{j})\psi_{i}$$

Simplified Heart (modal derivatives) r = 30; 1.4 msec; speedup = 21,000x



Multibody dynamics (modal derivatives) 512 baskets (r = 35), 1.2 sec / time-step BD-Tree for collision detection



Nonlinear materials + reduction

- StVK cubic polynomial scales as O(r⁴) (r = #modes)
- Can approximate reduced forces and reduced stiffness matrix in O(r³) time, using numerical *cubature* [An, Kim and James 2008]
- Supports arbitrary nonlinear materials

Nonlinear materials + reduction



Combining full simulation with model reduction [Kim and James 2009]

- Adaptively decides whether to take a full step or reduced step, at runtime online model reduction
- Makes it possible to throttle the simulation



Outline

- Vega FEM
- Introduction to Model Reduction
- Linear Modal Analysis
- Model Reduction of Nonlinear Deformations
- Applications of Model Reduction

Haptics (Greek; pertaining to the sense of touch)

- User feels forces generated by the haptic device.
- Requires high simulation update rates (1000 Hz)



[Barbic and James 2005]

Haptic rendering of distributed contact

• Runs at 1000 Hz:

deformable dynamics + collision detection + contact force computation.

 Adapts contact force accuracy to computer speed



[Barbic and James 2008]



Virtual assembly (aircraft geometry)

Both forces and torques rendered.

Deformable vs deformable contact

Deformable dragon

Five-level hierarchical pointshell 256,000 points 15-dim deformation basis

and deformable dinosaur Deformable distance field 256x256x256 5 domains, 40 proxies total 15-dim deformation basis



the domains

Optimal Control Using the Adjoint Method



[Barbic, da Silva and Popovic 2009]

Real-time tracking controller (using Linear Quadratic Regulators)

Offline simulation (scripted forces + stochastic wind)

[Barbic and Popovic 2008]

Real-time tracking controller (using Linear Quadratic Regulators)

Bee lands on the flower

[Barbic and Popovic 2008]



Controlled deformable object



Fixed trajectory

Uncontrolled



[Barbic and Popovic 2008] Multibody dynamics with self-collision detection

RR RR RRR R

[Barbic and James 2010]

103

Model Reduction + FEM + Domain Decomposition

- Decompose the object
- Simulate each domain using reduction
- Couple the domains
- Two approaches:



- Via polar decomposition gradients [Barbic and Zhao 2011]
- Via inter-domain spring forces [Kim and James 2011]

Model Reduction + FEM + Domain Decomposition

Via polar decomposition gradients



[Barbic and Zhao 2011]

Detail [Barbic and Zhao 2011]



1435 Domains

11,972 Total DOFs

5 FPS

Space Station[Barbic and Zhao 2011]dynamics: 75 fps,2500x speedup



Model Reduction + FEM + Domain Decomposition

Via inter-domain spring forces



[Kim and James 2011]
Acknowledgments

- National Science Foundation (CAREER-53-4509-6600)
- Eftychios Sifakis
- Yili Zhao