

# CS170: Discrete Methods in Computer Science

## Summer 2023

### Introduction

Instructor: Shaddin Dughmi<sup>1</sup>



---

<sup>1</sup>These slides adapt some content from similar slides by Aaron Cote.

# Course Basics

- Instructor: Shaddin Dughmi (shaddin@)
- TAs: Chandra Mukherjee (cmukherj@) and Neel Patel (neelbpat@)
- CPs: Ramiro Deo-Campo Vong (rdeocamp@usc), David Lee (dhlee@), Aditya Prasad (aprasad4@)
- Office Hours: TBD
- Lecture: MWF 2:00-4:05 pm in GFS 118
- Discussion: Thursday 2:00-4:05pm in GFS 118
- Course duration: 6 weeks
- Book: Essential Discrete Mathematics by Lewis and Zax
- Website (forthcoming):  
<https://viterbi-web.usc.edu/shaddin/cs170su23>

# Requirements and Grading

- 4-5 homeworks, worth 50%
  - No late homework allowed, but will discount lowest hw score by half
- Midterm worth 20% (Thursday Jul 20, during discussion section)
- Final worth 30% (Week of August 8, TBD).

# What is this course about?

- Discrete Math: disconnected, non-smooth objects (booleans, integers, graphs, etc)
  - Most relevant to computer science and algorithms
  - Quite different from continuous math like calculus
- Logic and proofs
  - Reason clearly and precisely by using logic, instead of relying exclusively on fallible intuition
  - Proof: Argument which starts from assumptions (a.k.a. axioms), applies rules of logic clearly in stepwise fashion, to establish a conclusion

# Outline

- 1 Generalization
- 2 Mathematical Primitives and Notation
- 3 Some Examples of Proofs

# Characterizing Triangles

- Is there a triangle with sides of length 2,3,6? What about 2,3,4?

# Characterizing Triangles

- Is there a triangle with sides of length 2,3,6? What about 2,3,4?
- Is there a general rule to determine whether a triangle of given side lengths exists?

# Characterizing Triangles

- Is there a triangle with sides of length 2,3,6? What about 2,3,4?
- Is there a general rule to determine whether a triangle of given side lengths exists?

Given three nonnegative numbers  $x, y, z$  with  $x \leq y \leq z$ , there is a triangle with side lengths  $x, y, z$  if and only if  $z \leq x + y$ .

The “only if” part of this statement is often called the **Triangle Inequality**



# Pigeonhole Principle

- In a group of 8 people, two of them must have been born on the same day of the week.

# Pigeonhole Principle

- In a group of 8 people, two of them must have been born on the same day of the week.
- What about 14 people? 15 people?

# Pigeonhole Principle

- In a group of 8 people, two of them must have been born on the same day of the week.
- What about 14 people? 15 people?
- If 11 pigeons go into 10 holes, there must be a hole with two pigeons.

# Pigeonhole Principle

- In a group of 8 people, two of them must have been born on the same day of the week.
- What about 14 people? 15 people?
- If 11 pigeons go into 10 holes, there must be a hole with two pigeons.
- What about 170 pigeons and 169 holes? 85 holes? 84 holes?

# Pigeonhole Principle

- In a group of 8 people, two of them must have been born on the same day of the week.
- What about 14 people? 15 people?
- If 11 pigeons go into 10 holes, there must be a hole with two pigeons.
- What about 170 pigeons and 169 holes? 85 holes? 84 holes?
- Is there a general principle here?

# Pigeonhole Principle

## Pigeonhole Principle (colloquial)

If  $m$  pigeons go into  $n$  holes, and  $m > n$ , then there is a hole with two pigeons.

# Pigeonhole Principle

## Pigeonhole Principle (colloquial)

If  $m$  pigeons go into  $n$  holes, and  $m > n$ , then there is a hole with two pigeons.

## Pigeonhole Principle

If  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  and  $|X| > |Y|$ , then there are  $x_1, x_2 \in X$  with  $x_1 \neq x_2$  such that  $f(x_1) = f(x_2)$ .

# Pigeonhole Principle

## Pigeonhole Principle (colloquial)

If  $m$  pigeons go into  $n$  holes, and  $m > n$ , then there is a hole with two pigeons.

## Pigeonhole Principle

If  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  and  $|X| > |Y|$ , then there are  $x_1, x_2 \in X$  with  $x_1 \neq x_2$  such that  $f(x_1) = f(x_2)$ .

## Extended Pigeonhole Principle

If  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  and  $|X| > k|Y|$  for a positive integer  $k$ , then there are distinct  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{k+1} \in X$  such that  $f(x_1) = f(x_2) = \dots = f(x_{k+1})$ .

i.e., if there are more than  $k$  times as many pigeons as holes, then there is a hole with  $k + 1$  pigeons.



# Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic

- **Prime number:** An integer greater than 1 which is divisible only by itself and 1.
  - 2,3,5,7,11,17,...
- Write down the following numbers as a product of primes in nondecreasing order: 15,18,60,61,62

# Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic

- **Prime number**: An integer greater than 1 which is divisible only by itself and 1.
  - 2,3,5,7,11,17,...
- Write down the following numbers as a product of primes in nondecreasing order: 15,18,60,61,62

## Prime factorization of integer $n$

$n = p_1^{e_1} \cdot p_2^{e_2} \cdot \dots \cdot p_k^{e_k}$ , where  $p_1 < p_2 < \dots < p_k$  are primes, and  $e_1, \dots, e_k$  are positive integers.

# Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic

- **Prime number**: An integer greater than 1 which is divisible only by itself and 1.
  - 2,3,5,7,11,17,...
- Write down the following numbers as a product of primes in nondecreasing order: 15,18,60,61,62

## Prime factorization of integer $n$

$n = p_1^{e_1} \cdot p_2^{e_2} \cdot \dots \cdot p_k^{e_k}$ , where  $p_1 < p_2 < \dots < p_k$  are primes, and  $e_1, \dots, e_k$  are positive integers.

## Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic

Every integer  $n > 1$  has one and only one prime factorization.

We just saw three illustrations of **generalization**: From a few examples, we extrapolated a principle or statement which applies more broadly.

- Useful in more situations
- Saves you from redoing the work every time
- Helps you understand what's really going on
- Strips away irrelevant details and uncovers the common pattern / phenomenon

# Outline

- 1 Generalization
- 2 Mathematical Primitives and Notation**
- 3 Some Examples of Proofs

# Sets

A **set** is a collection of things (or **elements**), which are called its **members**.

- Common to denote a set with uppercase, elements in lowercase.
- When describing a set explicitly by listing its members, we use curly braces
  - E.g.  $A = \{1, 2, 3\}$ .
- $x \in X$  means that  $x$  is a member of set  $X$ .
- $x \notin X$  means that  $x$  is not a member of  $X$
- Repetition does not matter, so can think of members as **distinct** (i.e., different)
- Order does not matter
- $|X|$  is the size (a.k.a. **cardinality**) of set  $X$
- A set may be finite (e.g. days of the week) or infinite (e.g. the integers, real numbers, computer programs).

## Functions

A **function**  $f$  is a rule which associates each member of one set  $X$  with exactly one member of another set  $Y$ .

- We write  $f : X \rightarrow Y$ , and say  $f$  **maps** elements of the set  $X$  to elements of the set  $Y$ .
- If  $f$  associates  $x \in X$  with  $y \in Y$ , we write  $y = f(x)$ . We call  $x$  the **argument** or **input** of  $f$ , and  $y$  the **value** or **output**
- Each  $x \in X$  gets mapped to exactly one  $y \in Y$
- Each  $y \in Y$  may have one  $x \in X$  that maps to it, or many, or none.

We will get into more detail on sets and functions later in the class.



# Outline

- 1 Generalization
- 2 Mathematical Primitives and Notation
- 3 Some Examples of Proofs**

For positive integers  $a, b$ , we use  $a|b$  to denote that  $a$  divides  $b$  evenly. We also say  $a$  is a **factor** (or **divisor**) of  $b$ .

### Claim

If  $p, m, n$  are positive integers,  $p$  is prime, and  $p|mn$ , then  $p|m$  or  $p|n$ .

For positive integers  $a, b$ , we use  $a|b$  to denote that  $a$  divides  $b$  evenly. We also say  $a$  is a **factor** (or **divisor**) of  $b$ .

## Claim

If  $p, m, n$  are positive integers,  $p$  is prime, and  $p|mn$ , then  $p|m$  or  $p|n$ .

- $p$  appears in the prime factorization of  $mn$ .
- The (unique) prime factorization of  $mn$  can be obtained by combining the prime factorizations of  $m$  and  $n$ .
- $p$  must have appeared in the prime factorization of  $m$  or  $n$  (or both)

## Extended Pigeonhole Principle

If  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  and  $|X| > k|Y|$  for a positive integer  $k$ , then there are distinct  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{k+1} \in X$  such that  $f(x_1) = f(x_2) = \dots = f(x_{k+1})$ .

i.e., If  $m$  pigeons go into  $n$  holes, and  $m > kn$ , then there is a hole with  $k + 1$  pigeons.

## Extended Pigeonhole Principle

If  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  and  $|X| > k|Y|$  for a positive integer  $k$ , then there are distinct  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{k+1} \in X$  such that  $f(x_1) = f(x_2) = \dots = f(x_{k+1})$ .

i.e., If  $m$  pigeons go into  $n$  holes, and  $m > kn$ , then there is a hole with  $k + 1$  pigeons.

- Is it possible that each hole has at most  $k$  pigeons?
- If that were the case, then there are at most  $kn$  pigeons overall
- But the number of pigeons  $m$  is strictly greater than  $kn$ , so this can't be.

## Extended Pigeonhole Principle

If  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  and  $|X| > k|Y|$  for a positive integer  $k$ , then there are distinct  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{k+1} \in X$  such that  $f(x_1) = f(x_2) = \dots = f(x_{k+1})$ .

i.e., If  $m$  pigeons go into  $n$  holes, and  $m > kn$ , then there is a hole with  $k + 1$  pigeons.

- Is it possible that each hole has at most  $k$  pigeons?
- If that were the case, then there are at most  $kn$  pigeons overall
- But the number of pigeons  $m$  is strictly greater than  $kn$ , so this can't be.

This is called a proof by **contradiction**.

## Claim

Given any  $m \geq 13$  distinct integers between 2 and 40, at least two of them must have a common divisor greater than 1.

## Claim

Given any  $m \geq 13$  distinct integers between 2 and 40, at least two of them must have a common divisor greater than 1.

- Take each of the given  $m > 13$  integers and map it to one of its prime divisors arbitrarily.
- Only 12 primes are relevant here, since there are 12 primes under 40: 2,3,5,7,11,13,17,19,23,29,31,37
- By the pigeonhole principle, two of the given  $m$  integers must map to the same prime. Therefore, they have a common divisor.



## Claim

There are arbitrarily large primes.

## Claim

There are arbitrarily large primes.

- Take any prime  $p$
- $p!$  is divisible by all primes less than or equal to  $p$
- $p! + 1$  is not divisible by any prime less than or equal to  $p$  (remainder is 1)
- By fundamental theorem of arithmetic,  $p! + 1$  has a prime divisor that is bigger than  $p$  (possibly itself).
- So for any prime  $p$ , we were able to show that there is a bigger one.

A number is **rational** if it can be written as  $\frac{a}{b}$ , where  $a$  and  $b$  are integers. Otherwise, we call it **irrational**.

## Claim

$\sqrt{2}$  is irrational.

A number is **rational** if it can be written as  $\frac{a}{b}$ , where  $a$  and  $b$  are integers. Otherwise, we call it **irrational**.

## Claim

$\sqrt{2}$  is irrational.

- Suppose for a contradiction that  $\sqrt{2}$  is rational.
- There are  $a, b$  with  $\frac{a}{b} = \sqrt{2}$ . Take such  $a$  and  $b$  with no common divisors (i.e. cancel out the common prime divisors).
- $a^2 = 2b^2$
- $2|a$ , and therefore  $a = 2k$  for some integer  $k$
- $b^2 = \frac{a^2}{2} = \frac{4k^2}{2} = 2k^2$
- $2|b^2$ , and therefore  $2|b$ .
- But we took  $a$  and  $b$  with no common divisors, a contradiction!

## Claim

There exist two irrational numbers  $x, y$ , such that  $x^y$  is rational.

## Claim

There exist two irrational numbers  $x, y$ , such that  $x^y$  is rational.

- We already know  $\sqrt{2}$  is irrational.
- Consider  $\sqrt{2}^{\sqrt{2}}$ . Either this is rational or it is not.
- If it is rational, we can take  $x = y = \sqrt{2}$ .
- If it is irrational, then take  $x = \sqrt{2}^{\sqrt{2}}$  and  $y = \sqrt{2}$ , both irrational.

$$x^y = \left(\sqrt{2}^{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{\sqrt{2}} = \sqrt{2}^2 = 2, \text{ a rational!}$$

## Claim

There exist two irrational numbers  $x, y$ , such that  $x^y$  is rational.

- We already know  $\sqrt{2}$  is irrational.
- Consider  $\sqrt{2}^{\sqrt{2}}$ . Either this is rational or it is not.
- If it is rational, we can take  $x = y = \sqrt{2}$ .
- If it is irrational, then take  $x = \sqrt{2}^{\sqrt{2}}$  and  $y = \sqrt{2}$ , both irrational.

$$x^y = \left(\sqrt{2}^{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{\sqrt{2}} = \sqrt{2}^2 = 2, \text{ a rational!}$$

## Note

We proved such  $x, y$  exist without identifying them! This sort of existence proof is called “nonconstructive”.