

CSCI699: Topics in Learning and Game Theory
Lecture 13

Lecturer: Ilias

Scribes: Reem Alfayez, Sarah Al-Hussaini

Last lecture studies the paper ‘Optimal Nonparametric Estimation of First-Price Auctions’ (Econometrica 2000), by Guerre, Perrigne, and Vuong. The paper shows a kernel-based estimator to learn the distribution of bidder’s valuation using the actual bids under First Price Auction. We also had this formula:

$$\xi(b) = b + \frac{G(b)}{(n-1)g(b(v))} \quad (1)$$

$$b(v) = v - \frac{1}{F(v)^{n-1}} \int_0^v F(z)^{(n-1)} dz \quad (2)$$

Where:

b=bid.

F=CDF.

f=PDF. \hat{v} = maximum possible v.

b(v)= the bid when the value is v. We want to learn (F,f) on values, but we have (G,g) distribution on bids, by pretending that we know them exactly where G=CDF and g=PDF then we take a single sample from that distribution of bids then use formula 1 to generate a sample.

$$\hat{\xi}(b) = b + \frac{\hat{G}(b)}{(n-1)\hat{g}(b)} \quad (3)$$

We will explain what are kernels and why do we need them. Kernels were used to learn continuous distributions by smoothing out discrete approximations. A kernel has two important parameters:

- Kernel function.
- Bandwidth which is not automated and need to be changed if the problem changed.

Kernel density estimation

Given n samples:

$$x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n$$

iid from unknown distribution with PDF f . The estimation:

$$\hat{f}(x) = \frac{1}{n \cdot h} \sum_{i=1}^n K\left(\frac{x_i - x}{h}\right)$$

$$K : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K(u) du = 1$$

where h is the bandwidth and K is the kernel function. Example of Kernels are:

- uniform Kernel.
- Epanechnikov Kernel.
- Gaussian Kernel.

Very important property of a kernel is its order. The order of a kernel is the smallest j where

$$M_j(k) = \int u^j k(u) du \neq 0$$

where M is a moment. The higher order of a kernel is the better the approximation, convergence, smoothing and learning become. **What can we do with kernels?**
Assumptions:

- f is r -times differentiable.
- K has order $\geq r$

$$\hat{f}(x) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} f(x)$$

$$\text{Mean Square Error } MSE(\hat{f}(x)) = \mathbb{E} [(\hat{f}(x) - f(x))^2]$$

$$= \text{Bias}(\hat{f}(x))^2 + \text{Variance}[\hat{f}(x)]$$

$$\simeq \left(\frac{1}{r!} f^{(r)}(x) M_r(k) \cdot h^r\right) + \frac{f(x) R(k)}{nh} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} k^2 du \quad [\because \text{Bias} = \mathbb{E}[(\hat{f}(x)) - f(x)]]$$

The two sides are competing with each other. Our goal is to find a value h that makes both sides equal.

$$\mathbb{E}[\hat{f}(x)] = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{1}{n} k \frac{x_i - x}{h}\right] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} k(u) f(x + hu) du$$

By Taylor's theorem

$$\mathbb{E}[\hat{f}(x)] = f(x) + \frac{1}{r!} f^{(r)}(x) M_r(k) h^r + o(h^r)$$

$$h^{2r} \simeq \frac{1}{nh}$$

$$h^{2r+1} = \frac{1}{n}$$

There are formal justification based on the central limit theorem:

$$d_k(\hat{f}(x) - f(x)) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$$

We are interested in a result that holds for a finite sample.

Finite sample approximation

Suppose that :

$$f \in \Delta([0, 1]), \delta - Lipschitz : |f(x) - f(y)| \leq \delta |x - y| \forall x, y \in [0, 1]$$

Use kernel density estimation of uniform kernel that has order 2. Look at TV distance between $f(x)$, $\hat{f}(x) = \frac{1}{nh} \sum_{i=1}^n k\left(\frac{x_i - x}{h}\right)$

Where: n is the number of samples and h is the bandwidth.

$$2d_{TV}(\hat{f}, f) = \int_0^1 |\hat{f}(x) - f(x)| dx = \int_0^1 \left| f(x) - \frac{1}{nh} \sum_i k\left(\frac{\hat{x}_i - x}{h}\right) \right| dx$$

$$\int_0^1 \left| f(x) - \frac{1}{2nh} \sum_{\{i : x_i \in [x-h, x+h]\}} \right| dx$$

$$= \int \left| f(x) - \frac{1}{2h} \frac{\hat{f}([x-h, x+h])}{\hat{f}(x+h) - \hat{f}(x-h)} \right| dx$$

$$[w.p \geq 1 - \delta] \stackrel{DKW}{\equiv} \int_0^1 \left| f(x) - \frac{1}{2h} F([x-h, x+h]) \pm O\left(\frac{\sqrt{\lg \frac{1}{\delta}}}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \right| dx$$

$$\leq \int_0^1 \left| f(x) - \frac{1}{2h} F([x-h, x+h]) \right| dx + O\left(\frac{\sqrt{\lg \frac{1}{\delta}}}{2h\sqrt{n}}\right)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
F([x-h, x+h]) &= \int_{x-h}^{x+h} f(t) dt \\
&= \int_0^1 \left| f(X) - \frac{1}{2h} \int_{x-h}^{x+h} f(t) dt \right| \\
&= \int_0^1 \left| \frac{1}{2h} \int_{x-h}^{x+h} f(X) - f(t) dt \right| dx \\
&\leq \int_0^1 \frac{1}{2h} \int_{x-h}^{x+h} |f(x) - f(t)| dt dx \\
&\quad f(x) - f(t) \leq \delta(x-t) \\
&\leq \int_0^1 \frac{1}{2h} \int_{x-h}^{x+h} \delta |x-t| dt dx \\
&\leq \delta h + O\left(\frac{\sqrt{\lg \frac{1}{\delta}}}{2h\sqrt{n}}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

Choose h :

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta h^2 \simeq \left(\frac{\sqrt{\lg \frac{1}{\delta}}}{\sqrt{n}}\right) &\Rightarrow h = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\delta}} \left(\frac{\lg \frac{1}{\delta}}{n}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \\
\text{Final error} &= \sqrt{\delta} \left(\frac{\lg \frac{1}{\delta}}{n}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}}
\end{aligned}$$

Que. We have just bounded d_{TV} . But what if we want to bound $\sup_x |\hat{f}(x) - f(x)|$?

Ans. We can bound this using the same calculation without integration. However, we will get a different bound with that approach.

Algorithm

We know,

$$b(v) = v - \frac{1}{F(v)^{n-1}} \int_0^v F(z)^{n-1} dz \quad \text{is Nash Equilibrium}$$

$$\xi(b) = b + \frac{G(b)}{(n-1)g(b)} \quad \text{is value } v \text{ for bid } b$$

The algorithm has two stages:

1. Compute \hat{G}, \hat{g}
2. Invert each bid using:

$$\hat{v}_t = \hat{\xi}(b_t) = b_t + \frac{\hat{G}(b_t)}{(n-1)\hat{g}(b_t)}$$

Estimate \hat{F}, \hat{f} using pseudo samples \hat{v}_t s

$$\hat{G}(b) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{t=1}^m 1_{\{b_t \leq b\}}$$

$$\hat{F}(v) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{t=1}^m 1_{\{v_t \leq v\}}$$

$$\hat{g}(b) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{t=1}^m \frac{1}{h_g} K_g\left(\frac{b_t - b}{h_g}\right)$$

$$\hat{f}(b) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{t=1}^m \frac{1}{h_f} K_g\left(\frac{v_t - v}{h_f}\right)$$

$$K_f = K_g = K_u(z) = \frac{1}{2} 1_{\{|z| < 1\}}$$

A1. Assumption 1. CDF F is continuous, differentiable; the r.v. is in $[0, \bar{v}]$

A2. Assumption 2. f is λ -Lipschitz

Theorem

Under A1, A2 and $K_g = K_f = K_u, h_g = O\left(\frac{1}{m^{\frac{1}{4}}}\right), h_f = O\left(\frac{1}{m^{\frac{1}{8}}}\right)$
for any $C_v(\epsilon) \in [\epsilon, \bar{v} - \epsilon]$, w.p. $\geq 1 - \delta$,

$$\sup_{v \in C_v(\epsilon)} |\hat{f}(v) - f(v)| \leq O\left(\left(\frac{\log \frac{1}{\delta}}{m}\right)^{\frac{1}{8}}\right)$$

-For this, what is m ?

-Since the algorithm has two stages, we need to bound the error in two stages

First Stage

Lemma 2: According to DKW, w.p. $\geq 1 - \lambda$,

$$\sup |\hat{G}(b) - G(b)| \leq O\left(\frac{\sqrt{\log\frac{1}{\delta}}}{\sqrt{m}}\right)$$

The next lemma is to bound $f(b), \hat{f}(b)$

Lemma 3: w.p. $\geq 1 - \delta$,

$$\sup |g(b) - \hat{g}(b)| \leq \lambda_g h_g + \frac{1}{h_g} O\left(\frac{\sqrt{\log\frac{1}{\delta}}}{\sqrt{m}}\right)$$

How to prove? Under A1 and A2, g is λ -Lipschitz (can be proven with calculus) with a different constant λ_g . Then use the result from first part of the lecture to show this.

$$h_g = O\left(\left(\frac{1}{m}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}}\right)$$

$$Error = O\left(\left(\frac{\log\frac{1}{\delta}}{m}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}}\right)$$

Note: Earlier, we defined F for $[0, \bar{v}]$ values. But later we took $[0, 1]$. That is not a problem. This is just requires larger number of samples that is dependent on \bar{v} with a weird relation.

Inversion Error

Lemma 4: For any interior set of the bid dis. domain,

$$\sup |\hat{\xi}(b) - \xi(b)| \leq O\left(\left(\frac{\log\frac{1}{\delta}}{m}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}}\right)$$

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned}
|\hat{\xi}(b) - \xi(b)| &= \left| b + \frac{\hat{G}(b)}{(n-1)\hat{g}(b)} - b - \frac{G(b)}{(n-1)g(b)} \right| \\
&= \frac{1}{(n-1)g(b)\hat{g}(b)} |\hat{G}(b)g(b) - G(b)\hat{g}(b)| \\
&= \frac{1}{(n-1)g(b)\hat{g}(b)} |(\hat{G}(b) - G(b))g(b) + G(b)(g(b) - \hat{g}(b))| \\
&\leq \frac{1}{(n-1)g(b)\hat{g}(b)} (|\hat{G}(b) - G(b)|g(b) + G(b)|g(b) - \hat{g}(b)|)
\end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

From Lemma 1 & 2, $|\hat{G}(b) - G(b)| \rightarrow 0$ and $|g(b) - \hat{g}(b)| \rightarrow 0$
Now if $g(b), \hat{g}(b)$ are close to zero, the total term can be large.
But according to A1, A2,
 $g(b)$ is not close to 0
 $\therefore \hat{g}(b)$ is also not close to 0 since $\hat{g}(b) \rightarrow g(b)$

$$\therefore |\hat{\xi}(b) - \xi(b)| \rightarrow 0$$

Errors due to Estimated Inverted Values

We will show that the estimated pdf (\hat{f}) from m samples is close to the ideal pdf (f), i.e., $\hat{f} \rightarrow f$

Suppose, if we had infinitely many samples, the estimated pdf would be \tilde{f}

Since $\hat{f} \rightarrow \tilde{f}$, we just need to prove $\tilde{f} \rightarrow f$

Let

$$\tilde{f}_h(v) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{t=1}^m \frac{1}{h} K_u\left(\frac{v - v_t}{h}\right)$$

Note: for $\hat{f}_h(v)$, v_t would be replaced by \hat{v}_t .

Lemma 5: Under A2,

$$\sup | \tilde{f}_h(v) - f(v) | \leq \lambda_f h + \frac{1}{h} O\left(\frac{\log \frac{1}{\delta}}{\sqrt{m}}\right)$$

Lemma 6: For $h_f = O\left(\frac{1}{m^{\frac{1}{8}}}\right)$, $h_g = O\left(\frac{1}{m^{\frac{1}{4}}}\right)$, w.p. $\geq 1 - \delta$,

$$\sup | \tilde{f}_h(v) - f(v) | \leq O\left(\left(\frac{\log \frac{1}{\delta}}{m}\right)^{\frac{1}{8}}\right)$$

We need both upper bounds and lower bounds

Upper bounds: Let,

$$\Delta = O\left(\left(\frac{\log \frac{1}{\delta}}{m}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}}\right)$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{f}(v) &= \frac{1}{m} \sum_{t=1}^m \frac{1}{h_f} \mathbf{1}_{\{|v - \hat{v}_t| \leq h_f\}} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{m} \sum_{t=1}^m \frac{1}{h_f} \mathbf{1}_{\{|v - v_t| \leq h_f + \Delta\}} \quad [\because \hat{v}_t \text{ and } v_t \text{ are } \Delta - \text{close, Lemma - 4}] \\ &\leq \frac{h_f + \Delta}{h_f} \frac{1}{m} \sum_{f=1}^m \frac{1}{h_f + \Delta} \mathbf{1}_{\{|v - v_t| \leq h_f + \Delta\}} \\ &= \frac{h_f + \Delta}{h_f} \tilde{f}_{h_f + \Delta}(v) \quad [\text{from the equation of } \tilde{f}_h(v) \text{ (before Lemma 5)}] \\ &\leq \frac{h_f + \Delta}{h_f} (f(v) + \lambda_f (h_f + \Delta)) + \frac{1}{h_f + \Delta} O\left(\frac{\log \frac{1}{\delta}}{\sqrt{m}}\right) \quad [\text{Lemma 5}] \\ &= \left(1 + \frac{\Delta}{h_f}\right) (f(v) + \lambda_f (h_f + \Delta)) + \frac{1}{h_f + \Delta} O\left(\frac{\log \frac{1}{\delta}}{\sqrt{m}}\right) \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

By picking $h_f = O\left(\frac{1}{m^{\frac{1}{8}}}\right)$,

$\hat{f}(v)$ and $f(v)$ are close to each other point-wise.