Improving Delay in Mobile Ad-Hoc Networks Via Redundant Packet Transfers





Michael J. Neely http://web.mit.edu/mjneely/www mjneely@mit.edu

Advisor: Eytan Modiano (LIDS)

M. J. Neely and E. Modiano, "Improving Delay in Ad-Hoc Mobile Networks Via Redundant Packet Transfers," Proceedings of the Conference on Information Sciences and Systems, Johns Hopkins University March 2003.

Ad-Hoc Wireless Network Scenario:



Network of area A meters² N mobile users

Precedents:

Static Network: Gupta and Kumar [1998, 2000] Mobile Network: Grossglauser and Tse [2001]

Network:	Capacity	Delay
Static	$O(1/\sqrt{N})$	
Mobile	O(1)	



Proceedings of the Conference on Information Sciences and Systems: Johns Hopkins University, March 2003.

Cell Partitioned Networks:





<u>Network Description</u>: N = Number of users C = Number of cells d = N/C = user/cell density

Cell Partitioned Network:

- -Timeslotted System
- -Only one Packet Transmission per Cell in a given timeslot
- -Multiple Cells can be activated simultaneously

(These are the "physical layer" constraints).



<u>Mobility model</u>: Full iid mobility, steady state probability 1/C

iid mobility model is an over-simplification, but provides situation where:

- -Network topology dramatically changes every timeslot
- -Can't use fixed routing schemes: Must rely on robust routing and scheduling.

Subject to the "physical layer" constraints and the mobility model, what is the network capacity and delay?



Users: $1 \leftrightarrow 2, 3 \leftrightarrow 4, 5 \leftrightarrow 6, ..., N - 1 \leftrightarrow N$

<u>Theorem 1</u>: Each user can transmit with capacity $\lambda < \mu$, where:

$$\mu = \frac{(1 - e^{-d} - de^{-d})}{d} + O\left(\frac{1}{N}\right) \quad \Box$$

(fix $d = N/C \Rightarrow O(1)$ capacity regardless of N)



d*~=1.7933 users/cell , $\mu*=0.1492$ packets/slot

 $\lambda \le \mu$ necessary. $\lambda < \mu$ sufficient.

Capacity is <u>achievable</u> using a modified version of the Grossglauser-Tse 2-hop Relay algorithm.

Algorithm and iid mobility model admits a nice, *exact queueing analysis*:

Exact End-End Network Delay-- If Exogenous input stream to source *i* is Bernoulli with rate λ_i :

$$E[W_i] = \frac{N-1-\lambda_i}{\mu-\lambda_i}$$

=> stable when all users have $\lambda_i \leq \mu$.

This is a scheme that gives O(N) delay without using redundancy. What if redundancy is in the picture?



<u>Theorem</u>: Redundant packet transfers and/or perfect knowledge of future cell locations of all users does not increase network capacity.

But what about delay?

<u>Theorem</u>: If no redundancy is used, no scheduling algorithm can achieve better than O(N) delay.

Proof: Consider sending a single packet...

How can redundancy reduce delay?

Consider 2-hop schemes:

Fundamental Delay Bounds:

<u>Theorem</u>: No scheduling algorithm with or without redundancy can do better than $O(N^{1/2})$ delay.

Proof idea: Consider single packet to be sent from source to destination. Time to reach dest. is related to T_n :

Define: $T_n =$ $1 + \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right) + \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right) \left(1 - \frac{2}{n}\right) + \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right) \left(1 - \frac{2}{n}\right) \left(1 - \frac{3}{n}\right) + \dots + \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right) \left(1 - \frac{2}{n}\right) \dots \left(1 - \frac{n-1}{n}\right) + 0$

Lemma:

$$\frac{n^{1/2}}{e} \le T_n \le 2n^{1/2}$$

Proceedings of the Conference on Information Sciences and Systems: Johns Hopkins University, March 2003.



Normalize Capacity: $\mu = 0.1492 = O(1)$

	Capacity	Delay
No Redundancy:		
Redundancy: 2-hop		
3-hop		
N-hop		

We design a <u>scheduling protocol to achieve</u> the $O(N^{1/2})$ delay bound. The protocol (necessarily) uses duplicate packet transfers.



<u>Complications to Overcome</u>:

1. this is not just a single packet transfer -- packets arrive randomly as a data stream.

2. All sessions must use network simultaneously.

3. Remnant versions of a packet may float around and create extra congestion.

Partial Feedback Scheme with Redundancy:

Packets labeled with *SN* numbers 1,2,3,4,... *In-Cell feedback:* In each cell, the destination sends a *request number RN* to the transmitter just before transmission.

 \sqrt{N} <u>Scheduling Protocol</u>: The 2-hop relay algorithm is used to establish transmission opportunities for all users. Then:

1) Users send each packet \sqrt{N} times, once each time we see a new relay node.

2) When a user is scheduled to transmit a relay packet to its destination, the following handshake is performed:

- The destination delivers its current *RN* number for the packet it desires.
- -The transmitter deletes all packets in its buffer intended for this destination which have *SN* numbers lower than *RN*.
- -The transmitter sends packet *RN* to the receiver. If the transmitter does not have the requested packet *RN*, it remains idle for that slot.

<u>Theorem</u>: This protocol achieves the optimal $O(\sqrt{N})$ delay, with data rates of all users equal to $\lambda = O(1/N)$.

Conclusions:

Scheme	Capacity	Delay
no redundancy		
redundancy 2-hop		
redund. multi-hop		

<u>Observation</u>: Delay/Rate $\geq O(N)$ <u>Conjecture</u>: This is a necessary condition.

Fundamental Capacity-Delay Tradeoffs with redundant packet transfers: High Redundancy =>low delay, but low capacity

Exact Capacity for any number of users N:

- (N even): $\mu = \frac{p+q}{2d}$ where: d = N/C $p = 1 - \left(1 - \frac{1}{C}\right)^N - \frac{N}{C} \left(1 - \frac{1}{C}\right)^{N-1}$ $q = 1 - \left(1 - \frac{1}{C^2}\right)^{N/2}$
- Let: λ_{ij} = Rate user *i* sends packets destined for user *j*.
 - $K = \max$ number users a source communi cates with (i.e., for all *i*, at most *K* of the λ_{ii} values are nonzero).

<u>Symmetric Capacity Region</u>: (achieved by modified version of the Grossglauser-Tse Relay alg)

$$\sum_{j} \lambda_{ij} \leq \frac{(1 - e^{-d} - de^{-d})}{2d} + O\left(\frac{K}{N}\right) \quad \text{for all } i$$
$$\sum_{i} \lambda_{ij} \leq \frac{(1 - e^{-d} - de^{-d})}{2d} + O\left(\frac{K}{N}\right) \quad \text{for all } j$$